# ASIA LIFE



**REPRINT** 

# SCIENCES The Asian International Journal of Life Sciences

Beyond Excellence©

SUPPLEMENT 21(2) 28 DECEMBER 2019

Social & Legal Changes for Sustainable Development: Trends, Issues & Effective Solutions

e-mails: asialifesciences@yahoo.com wsmgruezo@gmail.com Website - http://emtpub.com/journals/ALS/

**©Rushing Water Publishers Ltd., 2019** Printed in the Philippines

#### ASIA LIFE SCIENCES The Asian International Journal of

Life Sciences

Beyond Excellence©

ASIA LIFE SCIENCES -The Asian International Journal of Life Sciences (ISSN 0117-3375) is a non-profit, non-stock, refereed/peer-reviewed (double-blind review), international, scientific journal devoted to the publication of original research in the Life Sciences and other disciplines. Articles originating from anywhere in the world are most welcome.

Two issues a year make a volume.

#### BOARD OF EDITORS - Asia Life Sciences Supplement 21(2) 2019

*Chairman & Chief Editor:* Dr. William Sm. Gruèzo, 7288 Santosha Avenue corner Astheya Street, Istana Lovina Bay Park, Puypuy, Bay 4033, Laguna, Philippines.

Members: Dr. Yung-Cheol Byun, Department of Computer Engineering, Jeju National University, 102 Jejudaehak-ro, Jeju-si, Jeju-do, 690-756 South Korea. Dr. Liding Chen, State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shuangqing Road 18, Haidian, Beijing 100085, China. Dr. Leonila A. Corpuz-Raros, Institute of Weed Science, Entomology & Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture and Food, University of the Philippines Los Banos (UPLB), College 4031, Laguna. Dr. Maribel L. Dionisio-Sese, Plant Biology Division, Institute of Biological Sciences, College of Arts & Sciences, UPLB, College 4031, Laguna. Dr. Irineo J. Dogma Jr., Graduate School, University of Santo Tomas, España St., Manila. Dr. Agustine I. Doronila, School of Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. Dr. Celeste Leiden G. Gruèzo DVM/MA, 7288 Santosha Avenue corner Astheya Street, Istana Lovina Bay Park, Puypuy, Bay 4033, Laguna. Dr. Rafael D. Guerrero III, National Academy of Science and Technology, Level 3, Science Heritage Building, Department of Science and Technology Complex, Bicutan, Taguig City, MetroManila. Dr. Mehmet Varol, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Science, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Kötekli Campus, 48000, Muğla, Turkey.

#### Technical Production Manager: Ydred Harriss G. Gruèzo BSA

Deadlines for submission of manuscripts. First issue-01 July; Second issue-01 January. Please contact the Chief Editor/Chairman, ALS Board of Editors (see addresses below).

Subscription Prices. Foreign: Institutional - US\$1000; Individual - US\$800 (including Volumes 1-28, 1992-2019 + 21 Supplements). Local: Institutional - PhP10,000; Individual - PhP8,000 (including Volumes 1-28, 1992-2019 + 21 Supplements). Prepayment of order/back order is required. All issues are to be sent by air mail. Back orders will have an additional packing-handling and postage cost.

Send manuscripts, subscription orders and correspondence to: Dr. William Sm. Gruèzo, ASIA LIFE SCIENCES, The Asian International Journal of Life Sciences, 81 Governor F.T. San Luis Avenue, Masaya, Bay 4033, Laguna, Philippines. e-mails: asialifesciences@yahoo.com wsmgruezo@gmail.com Website: http://emtpub.com/journals/ALS/

©Rushing Water Publishers Ltd. 2019

Printed in the Philippines

### ASIA LIFE SCIENCES

The Asian International Journal of Life Sciences ISSN 0117-3375

Supplement 21 Number 2 28 December 2019

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1 Theoretical and legal analysis of foreign experience on illegal amber production: Environmental and economic aspects

  OLEKSII DROZD, SERGII PAVLENKO

  & VOLODYMYR SEVRUK
- **21** Students' scientific activity as a component of the lawyers' professional training

#### LILIYA RIABOVOL, YURIY HARUST, OLENA DZHAFAROVA & YEVGENY SOBOL

45 Administrative and legal mechanism of land relations protection in Ukraine and Russia: Comparative legal analysis

OLEKSANDR SVIDERSKYI,

OLEKSANDR HAVRYLENKO,

#### KOSTIANTYN KOVALENKO & TETIANA SHLAPKO

- 59 Personality sense transformation of the professional activity of practical psychologists with different behavior strategies

  NADIIA M. BIBIK,
  IRYNA YA. ZHOROVA & MARIANNA M. MARUSYNETS
- 77 Legal education and science in Ukraine: Tendencies and perspectives OLENA CHERNETSKA, OLHA VARYCH,

  DARIIA BAIRAK & TAMARA MOMOTENKO
- 87 Invalidation in a court of law of certain types of contracts concluded in connection with the provision of medical services OLENA P. RIABCHENKO, VOLODYMYR YA. MATSIUK &YURII YU. RIABCHENKO

Cont. on Next Page

Reviewers for this Issue: Refer to pages 993-998 of this Issue.

©Rushing Water Publishers Ltd. 2019

**Printed in the Philippines** 

101 Problems of the introduction of the academic honesty principles in domestic institutions of higher education

ANATOLII KULISH, INNA GYRENKO,

OLEKSANDR KOBZAR & BOHDANA PAVLENKO

117 Administrative and legal mechanism of execution of decisions of the European Court of Human Rights as the basis of case law application in the judicial system of Ukraine Oksana Pchelina, Viktor Sezonov, Valeriia Myrhorod-Karpova & Yevheniia Zherobkina

135 Theoretical and legal analysis of the category "financial security of the state"

SERHII SHARTAVA, MARINA SMOLYAROVA, YURIY HARUST & KONSTIANTYN KRYVOSHEIEV

**153** International financial institutions as subjects of the financial system of the state **TETIANA SYROID**,

YURIY KOLOMIETS, OLEKSANDR KLIUIEV & VALERIIA MYRHOROD-KARPOVA

**165** Legal responsibility of police officers in conducting pre-trial investigations of crimes

ANATOLIY KLOCHKO, DARYA KAZNACHEYEVA, OLEKSANDR MUZYCHUK & ELENA KISELOVA

177 Constitutional complaint in Ukraine: Theory and practice TATYANA MILOVA, PAVLO PINCHUK,
NATALIIA RYBALKO & SERHII HRECHANIUK

189 Search for ways to optimize the activities of state bodies managing the funds of international technical assistance ANDRII MANZHULA, YURIY HARUST,

VALERIIA MYRHOROD-KARPOVA & YEVGENY SOBOL

**213** Problems of construction of the financial system of the state: Organizational and legal aspects

YURIY HARUST, DARIA ZAGORSKA,

#### SVITLANA VASHCHENKO & SERHII MOSKALENKO

233 Foreign experience in providing public security and order by police during mass events and the possibility of using it in Ukraine

VITALII PCHELIN, LINA FOMINA, RUSLANA MAKSAKOVA & IVAN KUBARIEV

**247** Economic crimes: Innovative mechanisms of counteraction by law enforcement agencies

YURII HARUST, VADYM MELNYK, YURII KIIASHKO & VIRA HALUNKO

**265** Problems of construction of national financial system under the influence of globalization processes

LIUDMYLA RUDENKO, YEVHEN BARASH, IRYNA PETROVA & IHOR BOHDANIUK

**279** Comparative analysis of the financial system of Ukraine and foreign countries

IHOR CHUMACHENKO, VALENTYN MELNYK & VIKTORIIA SYCHOVA

**295** Vectors of education development: From enlightenment to post-modernism

LEONID C. VAKHOVSKYI, ANDRIY V. IVCHENKO & YANA S. SNISARENKO

309 Innovations in Ukrainian modern art: New technologies TATYANA MIRONOVA

& ANASTASIIA HONCHARENKO

325 Modernization of the academic discipline
"Administrative law" GANNA GUBINA, OLENA IVANII
& ALINA KUROVA

341 Ensuring of child's dignity as a principle of modern education: Administrative and legal aspects
OLENA KOVALOVA, MAKSYM KORNIJENKO
& OLENA POSTOL

359 Modern law education in the context of natural understanding of law ANDRII M. KUCHUK, LILIIA M. SERDIUK & YULIIA S. ZAVHORODNIA

**371** Developing computer literacy among elementary school children – Education for the future

NEVENKA TATKOVIĆ, LINDA JURAKOVIĆ & SANJA TATKOVIĆ

385 Role of modern legal elite in formation of legal culture of Ukrainian society LILIIA MATVIEIEVA,
POLINA BALTAJI & VIKTOR KONOPELSKY

**405** Legal regulation of conformity assessment of personnel qualification in construction

OLEKSANDR NEPOMNYASHCHYY, OKSANA MEDVEDCHUK & IRYNA LAHUNOVA

421 Legal education in Ukraine in the context of integration to the European educational space
LIUDMYLA DOBROBOH, VITALII ZAVHORODNII
& OLENA ORLOVA

433 International customs law as a means of public and private law specialists training improvement IEVHEN V. BILOZOROV, OLENA M. IVANII

BILOZOKOV, OLENA WI. IVANII & SERHII M. PEREPOLKIN

**447** Approaches to education reform for children with special needs worldwide

OLEG M. REZNIK, VYACHESLAV V. VOLIK & OLHA I. BEZPALOVA

461 Genesis and legal regulation of mediation institute in Ukraine IULIIA SHMALENKO, OLENA MITINA & OLGA KUDELINA

**479** Three subject didactics in professional-pedagogical training of future lawyers on the basis of acme-culturological approach **OLENA IVANII**,

**IHOR IVANII & TAMARA KHARCHENKO** 

**493** Public administration of legal education in the practice of the European Court of Human Rights

RUSLAN S. KARAHIOZ, OKSANA V. LEHKA & SERHII I. MINCHENKO

**505** The right to education of refugees

MARYNA DEI, TAMARA KORTUKOVA, VITALII KHODANOVYCH, KAREN ISMAILOV & ANATOLIY FRANTSUZ

**517** Using English as a foreign language when teaching subjects of the criminal law cycle

NATALIA SEMCHUK, SOFIIA LYKHOVA & ULIYA DEMIANENKO

**535** Guarantees of realization of the rights and freedoms of the person in the national legal system: Teaching technique

ANATOLII SHEVCHENKO, OLENA KALHANOVA, SERHII KUDIN& OLENA KRAVCHENKO

**549** Creating a safe educational environment for children deprived of parental care: Pedagogical and socio-legal aspects

VIKTORIIA SYDORENKO & ALLA KOVALCHUK

**563** Effectiveness of the program for development of prosecutor's ecological and legal consciousness

MARYNA DEI, OLEKSANDR KOBETS, ANDRIY HONCHAROV, YAROSLAV TSEKHMISTER & KATERINA SHAPOVALOVA

**577** Prevention of human rights violations

OKSANA MYRONETS, MYKHAILO BURDIN, OKSANA TSUKAN & YURII NESTERIAK

**593** Sounding multicode texts: codes, structure, components

NINA L. FEDOTOVA, VALENTINA A. SENTSOVA, NATALYA M. MARUSENKO, TATYANA B. AVLOVA, BORIS N. KOVALENKO & DARIA A. SHCHUKINA

617 Development of a software-hardware complex to diagnose electro-thermal overloads of semi-conductor sensors ARKADIY A. SKVORTSOV, SERGEY M. ZUEV, DMITRIY O. VARLAMOV & MARINA V. KORYACHKO

**629** Minimization of asymmetry of thrust of dual-flow turbojet engines of airliner in accordance with the results of system analysis of thrust parameters

ADELIYA YU. BUROVA

645 Features of heat transfer in dissociated air supersonic flows PETR V. NIKITIN, LEV N. RABINSKIY & OLGA V. TUSHAVINA

**657** Principles of equitable reparation in the case of compulsory alienation of immovable property

EKATERINA A. GILINA, YURY V. MISHALCHENKO, GEORGE V. ALEXEYEV, ANDREY V. TOROPYGIN & EKATERINA B. RADCHENKO

**667** Basic personality structure

VLADIMIR A. STARKOVSKIY

689 Investigation of a complex stress-strain state of a cylindrical shell with a dynamically collapsing internal elastic base under the influence of temperature fields of various physical nature

BORIS A. ANTUFEV,

EKATERINA L. KUZNETSOVA, LEV N. RABINSKIY & OLGA V. TUSHAVINA

**697** Certain aspects of interaction between the state and civil law in CIS countries

YULIIA V. TSURKAN-SAIFULINA, KONSTIANTYN M. VITMAN & DENIS A. KOLODIN

719 Legal relation: The issues of delineation (on the basis of the civil law of Ukraine) OLEG M. YAROSHENKO, ANDREY M. SLIUSAR, OLENA H. SEREDA

& VIKTORIIA O. ZAKRYNYTSKA

735 Property civil law liability and material liability of employees for damage caused to an employer:

On the basis of civil law of Ukraine

OLEG M. YAROSHENKO, OLENA V. MOSKALENKO, LARYSA YU. VELYCHKO & VOLODYMYR S. KOVRYGIN

749 Hardening of samples made of titanium and iron-chromium-nickel alloys to improve their strength, resource and fatigue characteristics

ARKADIY A. SKVORTSOV & EVGENIYA O. GNATYUK

759 Digital interpretation of gas dynamics problems as a means of optimizing fundamental general engineering education VALERIY V. BODRYSHEV.

#### LIDIYA G. NARTOVA & LEV N. RABINSKIY

775 Complex stressed deformed state of a cylindrical shell with a dynamically destructive internal elastic base under the action of temperature fields of various physical nature

BORIS A. ANTUFEV,

EKATERINA L. KUZNETSOVA, LEV N. RABINSKIY & OLGA V. TUSHAVINA

**783** On the generation of mechanical vibrations of semiconductor membranes under local pulsed excitation

ARKADIY A. SKVORTSOV & IVAN A. POSELSKY

**795** The doctrine of human rights in the field of private law relations: legal theoretical aspects

VERONICA YU. HORIELOVA, VASYL A. OMELCHUK, OLEKSANDR F. KALINICHENKO, INNA V. NAIDA & YULIYA V. SAGAYDAK

821 Civil law contract: Doctrinal and legal approaches
OLEKSANDRA O. KARMAZA, YULIA M. PANFILOVA,
ANASTASIIA A. SAPAROVA.

ALINA S. SHELUDCHENKOVA & SERHII M. ORIEKHOV 837 Heat transfer in nonlinear anisotropic growing bodies based on analytical solution

EKATERINA L. KUZNETSOVA & LEV N. RABINSKIY
847 Issues and prospects of legal regulation of commercial concession in Ukraine

TATYANA V. BODNAR,

OLENA A. BELIANEVYCH, NINO B. PATSURIIA,
VALERIA V. RADZYVILIUK & VIKTORIIA V. RIEZNIKOVA
863 Protection of ownership right in the court: The essence
and particularities VIACHESLAV V. VAPNIARCHUK,
IRYNA I. PUCHKOVSKA,

OLEKSII V. TAVOLZHANSKYI & ROMAN I. TASHIAN
881 The right to artistic freedom in post-Soviet countries
VALENTYN O. BAZHANOV, VIKTORIIA O. BAZHANOVA,
VIRA O. KACHUR, LILIIA O. PANKOVA
& MARYNA V. PUSHKAR

897 Mathematical modeling of heat and mass transfer in shock layer on dimmed bodies at aerodynamic heating of aircraft

LEV N. RABINSKIY,

OLGA V. TUSHAVINA & VLADIMIR F. FORMALEV

**913** Civil law regulation of contracts for joint activity in Ukraine and other post-socialist states

ALLA V. ZELISKO, VALENTYNA A. VASYLYEVA, BORYS V. MALYSHEV, MYKHAILO M. KHOMENKO & SERGIY V. SARANA

927 Groundwater level dynamics in the territory of the Altai Republic VLADIMIR V. ROLDUGIN, VALENTINA YE. KATS, NINA A. KOCHEEVA & TATJANA V. BOLBUH

943 Linearization of radiant heat fluxes in the mathematical modeling of growing bodies by the action of high temperatures in additive manufacturing

#### EKATERINA L. KUZNETSOVA & LEV N. RABINSKIY

955 The problem of trajectory optimization of the interorbital mission for a special service space craft with electric propulsion system for removing space debris objects ILYA A. NIKOLICHEV, VLADIMIR A. OBUKHOV, ALEKSANDR I. POKRYSHKIN & VICTORIA V. SVOTINA

**977** Investigation of an elastic curvilinear cylindrical shell in the shape of a parabolic cylinder, taking into account

thermal effects during laser sintering

LEV N. RABINSKIY & OLGA V. TUSHAVINA

993 Reviewers - Asia Life Sciences Supplement 21,

Number 2, 2019

999 Board of Editors -Asia Life Sciences Supplement 21,

Number 2, 2019

Beyond Excellence©

*e*-mails: asialifesciences@yahoo.com wsmgruezo@gmail.com http://emtpub.com/journals/ALS/

©Rushing Water Publishers Ltd., Philippines 2019

Actual Date of Publication: *Asia Life Sciences* Supplement 21(2) 2019 - 28 December 2019



©Rushing Water Publishers Ltd., Philippines 2019

Asia Life Sciences has an Impact Factor of 0.180

The papers published in *Asia Life Sciences* are indexed/covered by SCOPUS, Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; CABI, Wallingford, Oxon, UK; China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), 66 Xixiaokou Avenue, Haidian District, Beijing, China; J-Gate, Informatics Publishing Limited, No. 194, RV Road, Basavanagudi, Bangalore-560004, Karnataka, India and EBSCO Publishing, Inc., 10 Estes Street, Ipswich, Massachusetts, 01938-0682, USA.

Asia Life Sciences is a recipient of the Journal Accreditation Award of the Commission on Higher Education (CHED), Republic of the Philippines (2010-2016).

Printed on acid-free papers

Actual Date of Publication: *Asia Life Sciences* Supplement 21, Number 2, 2019 - 28 December 2019

## ASIA LIFE SCIENCES SUPPLEMENT 21(2) The Asian International Journal of Life Sciences

# Ensuring of child's dignity as a principle of modern education: administrative and legal aspects

OLENA KOVALOVA1\*, MAKSYM KORNIJENKO1 and OLENA POSTOL2

There was determined the essence of the principle of ensuring dignity in the context of modern conception of child's rights in the paper. The analysis of the affirmation state in national documents of the prohibition of corporal and degrading punishment of a child at school and at home and its influence upon the index of the provision of children's rights has testified the absence of only normative securing of appropriate prohibitions for the effective provision of child's dignity as a principle of modern education. The results of teachers and parents' questioning confirmed the contradiction between the norms of law and outdated social norms that tolerate the using of corporal and degrading punishments and cause the declarativeness of the rules of law. The modern conception of the rights of the child requires the formation of a consistent state policy based on an integrated approach aimed at realizing the importance of respecting the dignity of the child; the formation of "zero" tolerance as for violence in society; implementation of educational programs for participants in the educational process on non-violent methods of discipline; provision of normative attachment at national level of an absolute prohibition and inevitability of resposibility for any manifestation of disrespect for the dignity of a child.

**Keywords:** corporal and degrading punishment, principles of human rights, rights of the child, the realization of children's rights index

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Administrative Activities of the Police, Odesa State University of Internal Affairs, 65014, 1 Uspenska Str., Odesa, Ukraine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Research Laboratory of Problematic Issues of Criminal Analysis, Odesa State University of Internal Affairs, 65014, 1 Uspenska Str., Odesa, Ukraine

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

#### INTRODUCTION

Education like any other sphere of life of a society is based on principles, one of which is a respect for the dignity of the child. Dignity, as human rights, is inherent and belongs to each and every human being. Respect for human dignity is a supreme, overarching principle of human rights law (Vučković 2015).

The importance of dignity as the foundation of human rights is testified by the launch of the Global Dignity Day, launched by the Global Dignity movement and has been marked by various awareness-raising activities since 2006, including in educational institutions.

The principle of dignity is enshrined in many documents relating to children's rights. The most famous of them is the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Preamble, Article 16, Article 16, Article 23, Article 37, Article 39) (Convention on the rights... 1989). The adoption of this Convention and creation of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child has facilitated the transformation of the child from the object of care and protection into a person who is a person and a holder of human rights. A child rights-related approach to a child care giving and protection requires a paradigm shift towards respecting and promoting the human dignity and the physical and psychological integrity of children as rights-holder individuals (Committee on the rights of the child... 2011). Other non-governmental organizations, in particular the Council of Europe, have also made a special contribution to the rights of children, including through the case law of the European Court of Human Rights.

The analysis of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, the general comment of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (Committee on the rights of the child... 2007), the Council of Europe Recommendations (Guidelines of the committee... 2010, Council of Europe... 2016) and the practice of the European court of human rights (Tyrer v. the United Kingdom... 1978, V.K. v. Russia... 2017, O'Keeffe v. Ireland... 2014, Kayak v. Turkey... 2012), allow to determine the essence of the principle of the dignity of the child . The concept of dignity implies that children should be treated with caution, sensitivity, justice and respect, paying special attention to their well-being and specific needs and with respect to their physical and psychological integrity.

A separate and extremely important component of the principle of dignity is that children should not be subjects to torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. States should take all appropriate measures to ensure that school discipline is administered in a manner consistent with the child's human dignity (Convention on the rights... 1989).

States undertake to make the principles and provisions of the Convention widely known by appropriate and active means, both to adults and children alike. (Convention on the rights... 1989). Researches dedicated to the principle of dignity indicate the importance of a cross-cutting and consistent study of this issue during the education of children, from junior high school (Reardon 1995). Unfortunately, despite the presence of relevant standards, the rights and needs of millions of children do not still have sufficient provision (Boutayeb 2009). The rights of children should be clarified both at school and in the family, because they are not aware of their rights, children cannot demand their compliance (Sarriera et al. 2013).

Special attention of researchers from different countries focuses on such a component of the principle of ensuring the dignity of children as the prohibition of corporal and other degrading punishments. Studies in various fields of knowledge prove inefficiency and harm of such kind of punishments to children (Gershoff 2013, Hecker et al. 2014, Temple et al. 2014, Gershoff & Grogan-Kaylor 2016). Strategies for disciplinary measures, including all forms of physical punishment. Screams or humiliation are minimally effective in a short term and are not effective in a long run term (Sege & Siegel 2018).

Despite the fact that respect for human dignity is one of the basic human rights requirements underlying many existing legal instruments, both international and national, children continue to be treated and punished in a degrading way. Thus, the Council of Europe's Strategy for the Rights of the Child for 2016-2021, states that, despite the progress, the rights of children are violated daily and there are still many gaps in the legal protection of children and the contradiction between law and practice. This Strategy emphasizes the risk of violence against children, especially girls, in

places that should be safe for children, particularly in schools (Council of Europe... 2016). Corporal punishment, in particular beating and isolation is a standard practice in a number of countries. The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child has repeatedly pointed out in its concluding observations that the using of corporal punishment of children affects the inalienable dignity of the child.

The principle of the child's dignity must be respected by all participants in the educational process which includes not only students and teachers but also parents. States should provide a mechanism for the effective detection and response to cases of violation of the principle of the dignity of the child by all participants in the educational process. A number of contemporary researches on the issue of corporal and degrading child punishment is dedicated to the gender aspect of this issue (Muhhamad et al. 2018, Liu 2018).

UNICEF studies show that about 720 million school-age children live in countries where they are not adequately protected by the law from physical and other degrading punishments at school (as of 2017). The UNICEF official website contains information on teacher-to-student sexual abuse, twisting ears as a punishment for an uncompleted homework, making fun of a child for low attendance rates in the presence of other children, etc. (UNICEF Ukraine 2018).

Some researchers, referring to international standards emphasize the importance of forcible forbidding of corporal punishment of children and other degrading child punishments (Elgar et al. 2018; O'Neal 2008). The research of Frank J Elgar (Elgar et al. 2018) has shown that in countries where there is an absolute prohibition upon all types of physical punishment of children, the level of violent behavior among young people is lower than in those countries where there is no such kind of prohibition. In this case, the question remains open whether it guarantees an absolute legal prohibition the real provision of the rights of the child.

The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, in addition to the importance of legal prohibitions for the degrading treatment and punishment of children, emphasizes the creation of zero tolerance for violence. Frequency, severity of harm and the existence of intent cannot serve as a prerequisite for justifying violence, recognizing certain forms as legal or socially acceptable (Committee on the Rights... 2011). Meanwhile Grugel (2013) emphasizes that people do not associate the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child with the situations that occur in their daily lives, which makes it possible to declare the legal prohibition of the use of criminality and other degrading punishments in some countries. Therefore, it is expedient to investigate the issues of ensuring the dignity of the child as a principle of modern education not only through the prism of law, but also as a complex challenge, including the tolerance of society to any manifestations of violence, family upbringing.

Search the ways to effectively ensuring of the dignity of children in the modern world arises before each country. An essential component of the dignity of children is a safe environment and their personal integrity.

Whether the modern educational institutions are safe and such that ensure the dignity of the child or all participants in the educational process are aware today of the importance of ensuring dignity and its components not only for a particular person, but for society as a whole; are contemporary modern teachers and parents aware that the child is a person and not the property of the parent or state; do they understand that the consequence of neglect of the dignity of the child is a possible violation of their rights, from the right for education to the right for life; do they understand that the source of the threat for the safety of children is often the closest people – parents, teachers and classmates; are the norms effective that proclaim the dignity of every person and child in particular; is it sufficient for the effective protection of the dignity of the child by prohibiting and foreseeing liability for breach in national legislation; what kind of factors are the risks of the declaration of such norms; what measures the state should take to ensure respect for the child's dignity in the educational process and protect children from corporal and degrading punishment. Probably, the search for answers to these questions will contribute to the development of concrete proposals for effective administrative and legal protection of the dignity of children as a principle of modern education.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Through the methods of comparative analysis, synthesis and generalization, the state of affirmation of the prohibition of corporal and degrading child punishments is determined at the national level in 199 countries. For the analysis there was used some data from the The Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children (The global initiative to end all corporal punishment of children) website, which take into account, in particular, the concluding observations of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child on the periodic reports of each of the countries (Reports on every state and territory 2019). The results of the analysis were presented in the form of a table indicating in each country, the existence or absence of a total or partial ban on the use of children at home and at school of corporal or degrading punishment.

Assuming that the existing legal prohibitions may have a declarative nature, the reason for which may be the contradiction of the norms of law and morality, as well as the tolerance of society in applying corporal punishment to children as a measure of discipline, by questioning and questioning, the attitude of parents of schoolchildren and teachers to this issue in Ukraine is determined, as in one of the countries, which provides for an absolute legal prohibition on the use of corporal and degrading punishments for children.

There was conducted a questioning of 600 teachers (585 women and 15 men) in 120 schools and 450 parents (393 women and 57 men) in 10 schools in Odessa, Ukraine.

Anonymous questionnaires included three questions: 1) are you aware of the legal prohibition of using any form of violence against children (answer variants: "yes", "no"); 2) do you consider it possible to use corporal or other degrading child punishments by parents for the purpose of bringing up their children (answer variants: "yes, this is a normal phenomenon if children are disobedient"; "yes, because I have been brought up by both parents the same way"; "depending on the fault of a child"; "categorically no"); 3) do you consider it possible to use physical or other degrading child punishments by teachers for the purpose of educating children (answers variats: "so if it is necessary to keep discipline in the classroom"; "depending on the fault of a child"; "no, for this there are parents", "categorically no").

To the invitation of the Department of Education and Science of the Odessa City Council, the researchers were involved in carrying out classes with teachers and parents on combating ill-treatment with children in Odessa during March-May 2019. Classes were supplied in an interactive form and allowed to get feedback from participants.

At the beginning of the classes, participants were asked to fill out anonymous questionnaires and answer honestly the questions. The questionnaire was conducted in the school premises.

Before questioning, the researchers explained that violence against children can have psychological, physical, economic and sexual forms. There were given some examples of what acts can be classified as corporal (spanking on the buttocks and other body parts, cuffs, beating with a belt or other objects, twisting ears, forcing a squeeze or doing pull-ups for a large number of times) and degrading the child's dignity (forcing a naked child to stand in a corner; a ban on leaving his/her room, even to the toilet, screams and humiliation of the child, especially in the presence of his /her classmates) punishments.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among the 55 countries of Europe and Central Asia, the absolute prohibition of corporal and degrading child's dignity punishment at home and at school is foreseen in 35 countries. In 44 countries there are appropriate prohibitions in educational institutions and there are no countries in which there is no any general prohibition of physical punishment in schools.

Among the 24 countries of Western and Central Africa, the absolute prohibition is foreseen in 4 countries and in 13 there are no corresponding prohibitions at all. In 9 countries there are prohibitions only in educational institutions.

Among the 22 countries in Eastern and Southern Africa, the absolute prohibition of corporal and degrading child's punishment is foreseen only in Kenya (Table 1). Meanwhile, 10 countries do not have appropriate prohibitions at all. 10 countries have forbidden corporal punishment in

schools.

From the 33 countries in East Asia and the Pacific region, the ban on the corporal and degrading punishment of children at home and at school is foreseen in Mongolia and New Zealand. Absence of any prohibitions in 11 countries. Prohibitions on the degrading punishment of children in schools are foreseen in 14 countries.

From the 14 countries in Caribbean regions, there is no any absolute prohibition of corporal and degrading child punishment. Prohibitions on appropriate domestic punishments are foreseen partly only in Haiti. Prohibition of appropriate punishment in schools is foreseen in Belize and Haiti, partial – Trinidad and Tobago. In 11 countries, in general, there are no any prohibitions on degrading children's punishment at all.

From the 23 countries of the Middle East and North Africa, there are three absolute bans on the corporal and degrading punishment of children – Israel, South Sudan and Tunisia. There are no bans in 7 countries. In 12 countries there are prohibitions on the physical and degrading punishment of children in schools.

From the 7 countries in South Asia, the absolute prohibition on corporal punishment of children at home and at school is foreseen only in Nepal. Partial ban on corporal punishment of children in schools is foreseen in Bangladesh, India and Pakistan. In Bhutan, the Maldives and Sri Lanka, there are no any prohibitions at all.

In North America, there is only a partial ban on applying corporal punishment for children in schools in Canada.

Prohibition on physical and degrading child's dignity punishments in educational institutions:

- 1. Existing: Europe and Central Asia: Albania, Andorra, Austria, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro, Netherlands, North-Macedonia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Kosovo, Moldova, Romania, San Marino, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, United Kingdom, Ukraine, Afghanistan. West and Central Africa: Benin, Cape Verde, Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Gabon, Mali, Togo. East and South Africa: Ethiopia, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Mauritius, Namibia, Rwanda, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia. East Asia and the Pacific: Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Japan, Kiribati, Mongolia, Nauru, New Zealand, Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand, Tonga, Vanuatu, Vietnam, Caribbean Belize, Hait. Middle East and North Africa: Algeria, Bahrain, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Libya, Oman, South Sudan, Sudan, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, Yemen. South Asia: Nepal. South America: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay, Venezuela.
- 2. Partly: Europe and Central Asia: Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Monaco, Russian Federation, Switzerland, Turkey, Uzbekistan. West and Central Africa: Guinea-Bissau, Sao- Tome and Principe. East and South Africa: Burundi, eychelles Islands. East Asia and the Pacific Australia: DPR Korea, Fiji, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Marshall Islands, Micronesia, Samoa, Singapore, Solomon Islands, East Timor. Caribbean: Trinidad and Tobago. Middle East and North Africa: Djibouti, Egypt, Morocco, Palestine. South Asia: Bangladesh, India, Pakistan. North America: Canada. South America: Chile.
- 3. Zero: West and Central Africa: Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Ivory Coast, Equatorial Guinea, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone. East and South Africa: Angola, Dotswana, Comoros, Eritrea, Eswatini, Malawi, Mozambique, Somalia, Tanzania, Zimbabwe. East Asia and the Pacific: Bunea-Darussalam, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Republic of Korea, Tuvalu. Caribbean: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname. Middle East and North Africa: Iran, Iraq, Lebanon, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic, Western Sahara. South

Asia: Bhutan, Maldives, Sri Lanka. North America: United States of America. South America: Guatemala, Panama.

Prohibition on physical and degrading child's dignity punishments at home:

- 1. Existing: Europe and Central Asia: Albania, Andorra, Austria, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Portugal, Republic of Kosovo, Moldova, Romania, San Marino, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Turkmenistan, Ukraine. West and Central Africa: Benin, Cape Verde, the Republic of the Congo, Togo. East and South Africa: Kenya. East Asia and the Pacific Ocean: Mongolia, New Zealand. Middle East and North Africa: Israel, Southern Sudan, Tunisia. South Asia: Nepal. South America: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Costa Rica, Honduras, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay, Venezuela.
- 2. Partly: Europe and Central Asia: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Slovakia, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan. Caribbean: Haiti. South America: Mexico.
- 3. Zero: Europe and Central Asia: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Belgium, Czech Republic, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Monaco, Russian Federation, Serbia, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, Afghanistan. West and Central Africa: Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Ivory Coast, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Sierra Leone. East and South Africa: Angola, Botswana, Burundi, Comoros, Eritrea, Lesotho, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, Seychelles, Somalia. South Africa: Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabwe. East Asia and the Pacific: Australia, Bhutan, Darussalam, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, DPR Korea, Fiji, Indonesia, Japan, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Micronesia, Myanmar, Nauru, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Samoa, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Taiwan, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Vietnam.

Caribbean: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago. *Middle East and North Africa:* Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Palestine, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, United Arab Emirates, Western Sahara, Yemen. *South Asia:* Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Pakistan, Sri Lanka. *North America:* United States of America, Canada. *South America:* Chile, Colombia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Panama.

In Latin America, from the 19 countries, there are 10 bans on corporal and degrading punishment both at home and in educational institutions. Prohibitions on corporal punishment are absent only in Guatemala, Panama and partly in Chile.

Results of anonymous questionnaires of schoolchildren's parents and schoolteachers in Odessa presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. Results of anonymous questionnaires of schoolchildren's parents in Odessa

Table 1. Results of allohymous questionnaires of schoolchildren's parents in Odessa		
Parents		
1. Are you aware of the existence of the prohibition of using any form of violence against children?		
Yes – 157 (34.9%)	No – 293 (65.1%)	
2. Do you consider it possible to use corporal or other degrading child punishments by parents for the		
purpose of bringing them up?		
yes, this is a normal phenomenon if the children	yes, because I have been brought up by parents the	
are disobedient – 96 (21.3%)	same way – 94 (20.9%)	
depending on the fault of a child and in the absence	categorically no - 121 (26.9%)	
of any injuries – 139 (30.9%)		
3. Do you consider it possible using of physical or other degrading child punishments by teachers with the		
purpose of education?		
yes, so if it is necessary to keep discipline in the	depending on the fault of a child and in the absence of	

classroom – 31 (6.9%)	any injuries – 52 (11.6%)
no, for this purpose there are parents $-96 (21.3\%)$	categorically no – 271 (60.2%)

There are 34.9 persent of parents (Table 1) and 89.3 persent of teachers (Table 2) aware of the existence of the prohibition of using any form of violence against children. Only 26.9 persent of parents (Table 1) and 52.7 persent of teachers (Table 2) categorically not consider it possible to use corporal or other degrading child punishments by parents for bringing them up. There are 60.2 persent of parents (Table 1) and 89.1 persent of teachers (Table 2) categorically not consider it possible to use physical or other degrading child punishments by teachers with the purpose of education.

Table 2. Results of anonymous questionnaires of school teachers in Odessa

Table 2. Results of anonymous questionnaires of school teachers in Odessa			
Teachers			
1. Are you aware of the existence of the prohibition of using any form of violence against children?			
Yes – 536 (89.3%)	No – 64 (10.7%)		
2. Do you consider it possible to use corporal or other degrading child punishments by parents for the			
purpose of bringing them up?			
yes, this is a normal phenomenon if the children are	yes, because I have been brought up by parents the		
disobedient $-42 (7\%)$	same way $-90 (15\%)$		
depending on the fault of a child and in the absence	categorically no – 316 (52.7%)		
of any injuries – 152 (25.3%)			
3. Do you consider it possible to use physical or other degrading child punishments by teachers with the			
purpose of education?			
yes, so if it is necessary to keep discipline in the	depending on the fault of a child and in the absence		
classroom – 13 (2.2%)	of any injuries – 15 (2.5%)		
no, for this purpose there are parents $-37$ (6.2%)	categorically no – 535 (89.1%)		

Inspite of the absolute prohibition in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child of any degrading treatment of children some kinds of punishment, in some countries, discussions are still take place on the feasibility of legal consolidation at the national level of "reasonable penalties". The concept "reasonable punishment" and "legal correction" in the field of law arises because of the perception of children as the property of their parents. It is a modern equivalent of the laws that operated a century or two ago, allowing masters to beat their slaves or servants and men to beat their wives. Such "rights" are based on force and are supported by means of violence and humiliation.

At the same time, the existence of a legal ban at the national level does not guarantee the absence of using degrading punishments in practice. Meanwhile, the absence of a direct absolute prohibition in the country does not necessarily result in a high level of application of corporal and degrading child punishments. In Switzerland and Monaco, for example, there are no special rules protecting children from corporal and degrading punishment of children at home and only partial prohibitions exist as for punishment at school. At the same time ensuring the index of the rights of the child in Monaco is -9.14 / 10 and in Switzerland -9.26 / 10.

Index of realization of the rights of children (RCRI) is a class from 0 to 10 that defines the level of implementation of children's rights in the country. The lower is the RCRI, the lower is the level of children's rights in the country and vice versa. For RCRI's simplicity and visualization, there were used five colors: green (good situation); yellow (the situation is satisfactory); orange (noticeable problems); red (difficult situation); black (very serious situation). You can check the RCRI of the country using the Humanium resource.

The RCRI's black color, for example has Equatorial Guinea (5.52 / 10) and the Gambia (5.94 / 10), which does not contain norms that would prohibit the physical and degrading punishment of a child in national legislation. Panama, which also does not contain appropriate prohibitions in national legislation, has the yellow color of the RCRI (8,44 / 10).

The United States, which is one of two countries in the world that has not ratified the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, also does not have special rules prohibiting the using of corporal and degrading punishments as for children. The US children's rights realization index is at the yellow level – 8.72 / 10. D. Finkelhor (Finkelhor et al. 2019) noted that current trends indicate a consistent reduction in the use of corporal punishment to children in the United States, which the researchers correlate, in particular, with the increasing number of researches and information activities on the subject both nationally and internationally. Zamira Gjeleshi (Gjeleshi et al. 2014) stressed the importance of the formation of non-violent values among teachers, parents and children. We accept the researchers' view on the fact that the level of awareness of the population has a potential impact on the reduction of the practice of using corporal and other degrading punishments as for children.

Elizabeth Sullivan (Sullivan & Keeney 2008) pay a great attention that the US-based security approach, according to which every police officer must be present at school and that the disciplinary offenders are subject for any mobility restriction and isolation, has not been prooved. The most modern approaches are to implement the mediation and mediation institutions in schools. In addition, restorative justice as an alternative disciplinary approach will contribute to restore the dignity of victims of ill-treatment in schools (Reyneke 2011).

The absence of degrading punishment does not mean permissiveness and inadequacy of children. Of course, discipline must be respected, both at home and in school. However, exclusively non-violent methods of education, as well as adults, should be used for children. We can use the "mirror" method to understand whether a particular measure corresponds to the modern concept of the rights of the child and whether it encroaches on the child's dignity. The essence of this method is to assume that the punishment that is intended to be applied to a child is applied to an adult - a parent or a teacher. If in such a case the sentence would look absurd or inappropriate, it does not correspond to the modern concept of the rights of the child and may violate its dignity and personal integrity.

On the other hand, in countries where the legal prohibition on the use of corporal and other degrading child punishments is provided, in practice these standards are not always adhered to. So, the realization of the children's rights index in India has a red level of 6.3 / 10 (Realization of Children's... 2019). Reena Cheruvalathet emphasizes the need for programs to raise the awareness of secondary school teachers of the consequences of corporal punishment of children, because in Indian schools, despite the legal prohibition, teachers still use different types of corporal punishment, perceiving them as an effective method of controlling discipline in the classroom (Cheruvalath & Tripathi 2015).

The Council of Europe's Strategy for the Rights of the Child for 2016-2021 confirm this concept, which states that strong political will, adequate resources and public awareness of the rights of the child are needed to an effective protection of children. The Report of the independent expert for the United Nations on violence against children proclaimes that every society, regardless of its cultural, economic or social background may and must stop child abuse (Report of the independent... 2006; United Nations Children's 2017). This does not only mean a legal prohibition, but also requires the transformation of society's "thinking" and the elimination of economic and social conditions associated with violence. Tiwari A. (Tiwari 2019) believes that the successful implementation of the prohibition of corporal punishment depends on the compatibility between local and national socio-cultural norms, teachers' convictions and the availability of resources. Ssenyonga J. (Ssenyonga et al. 2019) concluded that, in addition to strategies for non-violent discipline and promoting changes in the use of coercive intervention methods aimed at reducing the level of violence by teachers, integration of effective stress management skills may be required.

There are some legal norms in Ukraine that prohibit the use of corporal and degrading punishments for children. From 2018, even there is a criminal responsibility for bullying, including teachers. However, accordingly to RCRI, Ukraine has an orange color -7.68 / 10.

The results of our research work showed, firstly, the low level of parents awareness of the existence of the prohibition of using any form of violence against children (Table 1). An analysis of

public opinion conducted by UNICEF in Ukraine in 2018 showed that 52 percent of respondents believed that slamming the baby on the buttocks is not always a manifestation of violence and 10 percent do not regard it as violence at all (UNICEF Ukraine 2018). The results of the research conducted in Odessa showed that only 26.9 percent of parents categorically deny the possibility of using corporal or other degrading child dignity punishment for the purpose of education (Table 1). Among the teachers, there are those who share this opinion – 52.7 percent (Table 2), although this indicator is too low for those persons who, according to national legislation, should disclose violence against children and inform law enforcement about these facts.

While conducting educational events with schoolchildren's parents, some of them mentioned the national traditions of education and mentality, which can not be changed. An argument for such parents was the fact that before the beginning of the 20th century the use of corporal punishment was allowed in schools at the normative level and actively used. And today in Ukraine, the use of violence by any teacher in any form as for students is not only prohibited at the level of legislation, but is also condemned by society. It was prooved by the results of the questionnaire. Thus, 60.2 percent of questioned parents (Table 1) and 89.1 percent of teachers (Table 2) categorically denied the possibility of using corporal or other degrading child punishments by teachers with purpose to educate children. That is, the social attitude changes over time. However, this requires a balanced and consistent state policy, resources and time.

Some of the parents during their feedback expressed a great interest in non-violent methods of discipline, but 20.9 percent of them use corporal punishment because they themselves have been brought up the same way and they do not know other methods of maintaining child's discipline (Table 1).

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Human dignity is an absolute and inalienable right of the child. Modern education should not be based on outdated concepts, according to which the child was considered solely as an object of care and protection of adults. A modern child is a person, a person who has all human rights, including the right not to be subjected to corporal and humiliating dignity punishments.

For the effective protection of the child's dignity it is not sufficient to foresee at the national level the legal prohibition of physical and degrading punishment. In many countries, such standards are declarative and do not ensure the safety and integrity of children. One of the important factors that determines the declarative nature of such standards is the tolerance of society to corporal punishment of children.

The problem of corporal and degrading punishment of children is a multidimensional phenomenon and requires a comprehensive multidisciplinary approach as for its resolution. Such penalties are violent by their nature and consequences. Violence against children can not be justified by such concepts as "tradition" and "discipline". Public policy should include approaches pointed at educating parents and teachers in non-violent ways to ensure the discipline of children. Zero tolerance to violence at the legal and social levels is a key to ensure the principle of dignity of a child in all spheres, including in education.

The research does not embrace all cases of violations of the principle of the child's dignity by other children in schools, because taking into account the relevance and depth, this topic needs a separate and thorough study.

Performances listed on the website The Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children, cannot reflect the latest changes in the legislation of countries and some countries do not have any data.

The researchers did not carry out a full analysis of the existence or absence of correlations between the existence of legal norms prohibiting the use of corporal and degrading child punishments and the provision of an index of children's rights in each of the countries. Taking into account the need to determine the factors that can bring about the declarative nature of such norms, the authors focused on verifying the hypothesis of society's tolerance as for corporal punishment as one of such factors.

Researchers did not influence upon the selection of the participants of questioning, so the research results are not sufficiently representative. Taking into consideration the gender policy's state in Ukraine, mothers' attendance, at the parents' meeting, prevails in schools. Consequently, we did not succeed in following the differences in the views of men or women on the use of corporal punishment of children. But we assume that they can be traced.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the research work can be used for further discussion on the mandatory existence of an absolute prohibition on the physical and degrading punishment of a child in national legislation, as well as in developing a strategy for zero tolerance towards corporal and other degrading child punishments. Further research could take into consideration not only the contradiction between the norms of law and morals, but also the norms of religion, which hypothetically can have influence upon the formation of a state policy in the field of children's rights in certain countries.

In addition, monitoring of teachers' awareness of the rights of children enshrined in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, as well as their understanding of the principle of dignity and its components, is appropriate. It is advisable to include in the educational programs of teaching staff training to study the issue of ensuring the dignity of the child. In addition, in the educational work with children and parents in the role of participants in the educational process, it is important to consider the rights of a child and the importance of the strict observance of the principle of the dignity of a child, both in educational institutions and in the family.

Ensuring the principle of the dignity of a child is a principle of modern education and it requires an integrated approach that should include: revision of a current legislation to ensure the effective prohibition of all corporal punishment; enhancing the parents and teachers' awareness about the harm from physical and degrading punisments and their training in non-violent means of discipline; supplying of informational and educational activities pointed to understanding society's relevance and importance of the principle of the dignity of the child and its provision in all spheres of life, including education; informing children about their rights, including the right to respect dignity, including this topic through school curricula; conducting researches with the purpose to better understanding of the problem's scale and evaluating the effectiveness of the state policy in this field.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Boutayeb, A. 2009. *Social determinants, health equity and human development*. Available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/293299217\_Social\_determinants\_health\_equity\_and\_h uman development (Accessed date 29.08.2019).
- Cheruvalath, R. and M. Tripathi. 2015. Secondary school teachers' perception of corporal punishment: a case study in India. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas* 88: 127-132.
- Committee on the rights of the child general comment No. 8. "On the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment (arts. 19; 28, para. 2; and 37, inter alia)". 2007. Available at https://www.refworld.org/docid/460bc7772.html (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Committee on the rights of the child general comment "On the right of the child to freedom from all forms of violence". 2011. Available at https://www.refworld.org/docid/4e6da4922.html (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Convention on the rights of the child of November, 1989 General Assembly Resolution 44/25. Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession. 1989. Available at https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CRC.aspx (Accessed date 07.07.2019)

- Council of Europe strategy for the rights of the child 2016-2021. 2016. Available at https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=0 90000168066cff8 (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Elgar, F.J, P.D. Donnelly, V. Michaelson, G. Gariépy, K.E. Riehm, S.D. Walsh and W. Pickett. 2018. Corporal punishment bans and physical fighting in adolescents: an ecological study of 88 countries. *BMJ Open* 8: e021616.
- Finkelhor, D., H. Turner, B.K. Wormuth, J. Vanderminden and S. Hamby. 2019. Corporal punishment: current rates from a national survey. *Journal of Child and Family Studies* 28(7): 1991-1997.
- Gershoff, E.T. 2013. Spanking and child development: we know enough now ToStop hitting our children. *Child Dev Perspect* 7(3): 133–137.
- Gershoff, E.T. and A. Grogan-Kaylor. 2016. Spanking and child outcomes: old controversies and new meta-analyses. *Journal of Family Psychology* 30(4): 453-469.
- Gjeleshi, Z., D. Kaleci and E. Miftari. 2014. Physical and psychological violence in high schools, teaching their treatment. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 5(19): 546-552.
- Grugel, J. 2013. Children's rights and children's welfare after the convention on the rights of the child. *Progress in Development Studies* 13(1):19–30.
- Guidelines of the committee of ministers of the council of Europe on child-friendly justice. 2010. Available at https://rm.coe.int/16804b2cf3 (Accessed date 17.08.2019).
- Hecker, T., K. Hermenau, D. Isele, and T. Elbert. 2014. Corporal punishment and children's externalizing problems: a cross-sectional study of Tanzanian primary school aged children. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 38(5): 884-892.
- Kayak v. Turkey. Requête no 60444/08. La Cour européenne des droits de l'homme. Strasbourg. 2012. Available at http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-112094 (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Liu, R.X. 2018. Physical discipline and verbal punishment: an assessment of domain and gender-specific effects on delinquency among chinese adolescents. *Yoth & Society* 50(7): 871-890.
- Muhhamad, N, M. Shaheen, M.K.U. Rahman, F. Rahman and F. Haleem. 2018. On the relationship between teachers' behavior and corporal punishment: Lessons, implications, and recommendations. *World Family Medicine* 16(6): 33-42.
- O'Neal, N. 2008. Corporal punishment in public schools: a call for legal reform. *African Human Rights Law Journal* 8(1): 60-78.
- O'Keeffe v. Ireland. Application no.35810/09. European court of human rights. 2014. Available at http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-140235 (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Realization of children's rights index. 2019. Available at https://www.humanium.org/en/rcri/(Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Reardon, B.A. 1995. *Educating for human dignity*. University of Pennsylvania Press, Pennsylvania, 56 p.
- Report of the independent expert for the United Nations study on violence against children. Sixty-first session United Nations General Assembly. 2006. Available at https://www.unicef.org/violencestudy/reports/SG\_violencestudy\_en.pdf (Accessed date 29.07.2019).
- Reports on every state and territory. 2019. Available at https://endcorporalpunishment.org/reports-on-every-state-and-territory/ (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Reyneke, M. 2011. The right todignity and restorative justice in schools. *Potchefstroomse Elektroniese Regsblad* 14(6): 128-171.
- Sarriera, J.C., A. Rodrigues, F. Galli and J. Ferreira-Jr. 2013. *Children's subjective well-being findings from surveys in Algeria*. Available at http://www.isciweb.org/\_Uploads/dbsAttachedFiles/ChildrensKnowledge.pdf (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Sege, R.D. and B.S. Siegel. 2018. Effective discipline to raise healthy children. *Pediatrics* 142(6): e20183112.

- Ssenyonga, J, K. Hermenau, M. Nkuba and T. Hecker. 2019. Stress and positive attitudes towards violent discipline are associated with school violence by Ugandan teachers. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 93: 15-26.
- Sullivan, E. and E. Keeney. 2008. Teachers talk. School culture, safety and human rights. *National Economic and Social Rights Initiative (NESRI) and Teachers Unite*. Available at https://www.nesri.org/sites/default/files/Teachers Talk.pdf (Accessed date 17.09.2019).
- Temple, J.R., H.J. Choi, T. Reuter, D. Wolfe, C.A. Taylor, S. Madigan and L.E. Scott. 2014. Childhood corporal punishment and future perpetration of physical dating violence. *Journal of Pediatrics* 194: 233-237.
- The global initiative to end all corporal punishment of children. Available at https://endcorporalpunishment.org/ (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Tiwari, A. 2019. The corporalpunishmentban in schools: teachers' attitudes and classroom practices. *Educational Studies* 45(3): 271-284.
- Tyrer v. The United Kingdom. Application no.5856/72. European Court of human rights. Strasbourg. 25 April 1978. Available at http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-57587 (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- UNICEF Ukraine. 2018. Available at https://www.facebook.com/UNICEFUkraine/videos/612576245823762/> (Accessed date 12.07.2019).
- United Nations children's fund, a familiar face: violence in the lives of children and adolescents. 2017. Available at https://data.unicef.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/EVACBooklet-FINAL-10 31 17-high-res.pdf (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- V.K. v. Russia. Application no.68059/13. European Court of human rights. Strasbourg. 2017. Available at http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-171778 (Accessed date 17.07.2019).
- Vučković, Š.N. 2015. The CRC committee and child dignity. Law and Politics 13(2): 87-98.

Publication of this issue became possible with the valuable support of our partners:



www.publ.science info@publ.science rus: +7 (487) 244 05 08

ua: +38 (044) 392 45 52 kz: +7 (727) 312 27 86





81 Governor F.T. San Luis Avenue, Masaya, Bay 4033 Laguna, Philippines Celfone nos. (063) (049) 0916-526-0164; 0977-706-0972 e-mails: asialifesciences@yahoo.com wsmgruezo@gmail.com http://emtpub.com/journals/ALS/

©Rushing Water Publishers Ltd., Philippines 2019

Asia Life Sciences has an Impact Factor of 0.180

The papers published in Asia Life Sciences are indexed/covered by SCOPUS, Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; CABI, Wallingford, Oxon, UK; China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), 66 Xixiaokou Avenue, Haidian District, Beijing, China; J-Gate, Informatics Publishing Limited, No. 194, RV Road, Basavanagudi, Bangalore-560004, Karnataka, India and EBSCO Publishing, Inc., 10 Estes Street, Ipswich, Massachusetts, 01938-0682, USA.

> Asia Life Sciences is a recipient of the Journal Accreditation Award of the Commission on Higher Education (CHED), Republic of the Philippines (2010-2016).

> > Printed on acid-free papers

Asia Life Sciences Supplement 21(2) 2019